

# PREDESIGN REPORT



April 2020

NISQUALLY NEW FULL-SERVICE PARK

**CBS#: 40000153**

**Prepared For:**

Washington State Office of Financial Management

**Prepared By:**

Washington State Parks & Recreation Commission

**In Collaboration with:**

Robert W. Droll, Landscape Architect, PS

April 2020

Nisqually New Full-Service Park

# Predesign Report

**Prepared By:**

**Washington State Parks and  
Recreation Commission**  
1111 Israel Road SW  
Tumwater, Washington 98501-6512

**In Collaboration With:**

**Robert W. Droll Landscape Architect, PS**  
4405 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE, Suite 203  
Lacey, WA 98503

## Table of Contents

TABLE .....	III
FIGURES.....	III
ABBREVIATIONS .....	IV
DISCLAIMER.....	V
<b>Problem Statement</b>	<b>3</b>
2A. PROBLEM STATEMENT .....	4
2B. PROJECT REQUIREMENTS .....	6
2C. AGENCY MISSION .....	9
2D. PROJECT APPROACH .....	10
2E. RELEVANT PROJECT HISTORY .....	14
<b>Analysis of Alternatives</b>	<b>16</b>
3A. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED .....	17
3B. COST ESTIMATES FOR EACH ALTERNATIVE.....	28
3C. SCHEDULE ESTIMATES FOR EACH ALTERNATIVE.....	29
<b>Detailed Analysis of Preferred Alternatives</b>	<b>29</b>
4A. PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTION .....	30
4B. SITE ANALYSIS.....	39
4C. CONSISTENCY WITH APPLICABLE LONG-TERM PLANS .....	53
4D. CONSISTENCY WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS .....	54
4E. IDENTIFIED DATA GAPS .....	59
4F. SIGNIFICANT COMPONENTS.....	60
4G. PLANNED TECHNOLOGY INFRASTRUCTURE .....	60
4H. PLANNED BUILDING COMMISSIONING .....	61
4I. FUTURE PHASES OR OTHER FACILITIES.....	61
4J. PROJECT MANAGEMENT .....	61
4K. SCHEDULE.....	63
<b>Project Budget Analysis for the Preferred Alternative</b>	<b>64</b>
5A. COST ESTIMATE .....	64
5B. PROPOSED FUNDING .....	83
5C. FACILITY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS.....	83
5D. FURNITURE, FIXTURES & EQUIPMENT .....	86

**References 88**

**Appendices 90**

**APPENDIX A PREDESIGN CHECKLIST AND OUTLINE**

**APPENDIX B LIFE CYCLE COST MODEL**

**APPENDIX C LETTER FROM DAHP**

**Table**

Table 1 – Alternatives Comparison ..... 24

Table 2 – Alternative Design Criteria Comparison ..... 27

Table 3 – ROM Estimate of Probable Costs..... 28

Table 4 – Phase 1 Water Demand..... 48

Table 5 – Full Build-Out Water Demand ..... 48

Table 6 – Power ..... 49

Table 7 – Washington State Priority Species & Habitats (WDFW 2019)..... 52

Table 8 – ESA-listed and Proposed Species that May Occur in the Project Area..... 52

Table 9 – Summary Table of Uniformat Level II Cost Estimates ..... 65

Table 10 – Project Cost Estimate C-100 Form for Phase 1 ..... 66

Table 11 – Project Cost Estimate C-100 Form for Phase 2 ..... 71

Table 12 – Project Cost Estimate C-100 Form for Phase 3 ..... 77

Table 13 - Operating Impacts (Staff) ..... 83

Table 14 - Operating Impacts (Other Costs)..... 85

**Figures**

Figure 1 – Nisqually State Park Vicinity Map ..... 4

Figure 2 – Project Approach for the Predesign Phase ..... 10

Figure 3 – Nisqually State Park Design Element Areas..... 11

Figure 4 – Alternative 1: No Action ..... 18

Figure 5 – Alternative 2: Development of 58 Campsites ..... 21

Figure 6 – Alternative 3: Development of 52 Campsites ..... 22

Figure 7 – Schedule Estimates for Each Alternative..... 29

Figure 8 – Welcome Center, Staff Residence, and RV Dump Station..... 32

Figure 9 – Administration and Maintenance Facility ..... 33

Figure 10 – Alternative 3: Mashel River Overlook ..... 35

Figure 11 – Alternative 3: Nisqually River Managed Access Concept Plan ..... 36

Figure 12 – Alternative 3: Nisqually River Managed Access Renderings (1 of 2) ..... 37

Figure 13 – Alternative 3: Nisqually River Managed Access Renderings (2 of 2) ..... 38

Figure 14 – Project Location Map..... 42

Figure 15 – Current Park Entry and Day-use area ..... 43

Figure 16 – Present Ownership & Long-Term Park Boundary..... 45

Figure 17 – Preferred Alternative Project Schedule..... 63

## Abbreviations

ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
CAMP	Classification and Management Planning
DAHP	Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
DNR	Washington State Department of Natural Resources
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FTE	full-time employee
GEO	Governor's Executive Order
GMA	Washington State Growth Management Act
gpm	gallons per minute
LEED	Leadership in Environmental and Energy Design
LID	low impact development
mph	miles per hour
NHD	National Historic District
NHL	National Historic Landmark
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
OFM	Washington State Office of Financial Management
PCC	Pierce County Code
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
ROM	Rough order of magnitude
ROW	right-of-way
RV	recreational vehicle
SED	Shoreline Environment Designation
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SMA	Shoreline Management Act
SMP	Shoreline Master Program
SR7	State Route 7
SWMMWW	Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation

## Disclaimer

The Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM) is required by Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 43.88.110(5) to institute procedures for reviewing capital projects proposed by state agencies. In order to qualify for design consideration and request funding in the capital budget, OFM requires predesign analysis and reports for all proposed capital projects valued over \$10 million or valued between \$1 million and \$10 million selected by the Legislature or OFM because they are particularly time sensitive, have high risk or are of particular interest to decision-makers.

A predesign study is a beginning step in a comprehensive review and funding process and is intended to explore alternatives for proposed capital projects and assess which alternative best addresses the identified problem, opportunity or program requirement and at what cost. Decision-makers in the Governor's Office, OFM and the Legislature use this information to determine if their objectives are met and whether a project should proceed toward design and construction. The fact that an agency has undertaken a predesign study does not guarantee that a project will be approved to continue to the design or construction phases. The subsequent review and approval by OFM and/or the Legislature is an ongoing process to determine the future of the project. If approval of the predesign study is not granted, the project will not be included in the Governor's proposed budget for consideration by the Legislature. Furthermore, approval of the completed predesign does not guarantee appropriations for design or construction.

While the Predesign Report identifies a preferred alternative and conceptual design, it does not impose constraints that cannot be altered during the design process if additional information becomes available. Reasonable flexibility, with legislative intent, during the design and construction process is expected and encouraged. Any future project decisions and actions by State Parks will be dependent on OFM's approval of this plan and will be based on additional site analysis, environmental review and available funding.